UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----x 18-CR-6094 (FPG)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

vs.

XAVIER TORRES,

Rochester, New York September 15, 2021

3:09 p.m.

-----x

Defendant.

## PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANK P. GERACI
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

JAMES P. KENNEDY, ESQ. United States Attorney

BY: ROBERT A. MARANGOLA, AUSA BY: CASSIE M. KOCHER, AUSA

100 State Street

Suite 500

Rochester, New York 14614

FOR DEFENDANT: MAURICE J. VERRILLO, ESQ.

3300 Monroe Avenue

Suite 301

Rochester, New York 14618

COURT REPORTER: Diane S. Martens

dimartens55@gmail.com

	1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
	2	* * *
	3	
	4	(WHEREUPON, the defendant is present.)
3:09PM	5	THE CLERK: This is 18-CR-6094, U.S. vs. Xavier Torres.
	6	Rob Marangola and Cassie Kocher are here for the
	7	government.
	8	Maurice Verrillo is here for defendant.
	9	Mr. Torres appears today for a pretrial conference.
3:10PM	10	THE COURT: Are you Xavier Torres?
	11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Mr. Torres appears with his attorney.
	13	Mr. Marangola is here on behalf of the government, along
	14	with Ms. Kocher.
3:10PM	15	Is this our first pretrial? We had one before, didn't
	16	we?
	17	MR. MARANGOLA: I think we had one when they were
	18	together, when Mr. Figueroa was still together with Mr.
	19	Torres but this is the first one after the severance.
3:10PM	20	THE COURT: In this matter, the Court does have voir
	21	dire suggestions by both the government and the defense; a
	22	witness list from the government listing some 53 witnesses;
	23	and the defendant listing 1 witness; proposed jury
	24	instructions from both the government and the defendant.
3:10PM	25	I do not believe we received the exhibit list; is that

3:10PM	1	right?
	2	MR. MARANGOLA: Judge, we have not submitted a final
	3	exhibit list yet. I've been speaking to Mr. Verrillo
	4	regarding stipulations and we're finalizing those. I believe
3:11PM	5	we will have some agreement on a number of matters
	6	THE COURT: Okay.
	7	MR. MARANGOLA: in terms of foundation.
	8	So, we're working to finalize that and then I'll be able
	9	to have Mr. Torres and the government sign a stipulation and
3:11PM	10	then get a final exhibit list.
	11	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Verrillo, is that the case?
	12	MR. VERRILLO: Yes, we're working towards that, Judge,
	13	yes.
	14	THE COURT: All the motions that were previously filed,
3:11PM	15	I believe have all been decided and resolved. I don't think
	16	there's any outstanding motions, is that right?
	17	MR. MARANGOLA: That's my understanding, Judge.
	18	THE COURT: And the defense?
	19	MR. VERRILLO: Since the case has been severed, there's
3:11PM	20	a few other issues that have come up that I want to submit a
	21	memo on.
	22	Since this severance has occurred, there's a few
	23	issues I know I filed a prior motion, 404(b) and some
	24	other things dealing with the nickname, things of that
3:12PM	25	nature, which the Court had dealt with.

4

U.S. vs. Torres - 18-CR-6094

3:12PM 1 But I do think that there's an issue here with regard to the scope of the evidence that the governments wants to present.

4 My client had left the area basically late 2015, and had

My client had left the area basically late 2015, and had an incident here in February of 2016 but he basically was not here. So they want to bring evidence in through '18 and I had a motion that I wanted to submit on that issue, the Rule 403 issue.

THE COURT: When are you going to do that?

MR. VERRILLO: Soon. I'm sorry, I just wanted the Court to be aware of that.

THE COURT: Okay.

3:12PM

3:12PM

3:12PM

3:12PM

3:13PM

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VERRILLO: That is a new issue subsequent to the severance.

THE COURT: Give Mr. Marangola an opportunity to respond to that.

So there are no other issues right now?

There is one issue regarding jury selection. We're scheduled to begin jury selection on October 12th. I was just notified Judge Wolford had previously scheduled, prior to my scheduling this trial, a jury trial starting the same date.

Because of the whole COVID situation, it's very difficult to pick two juries on the same day.

I believe Judge Siragusa actually has a jury selection

		0.5. vs. 1011cs 10 cm 0094
3:13PM	1	October 18th, as well.
	2	So I'm wondering is it possible to move up our jury
	3	selection to October 7th? Are you both available for that?
	4	And that would avoid that conflict.
3:13PM	5	We could block off the 7th and 8th for jury selection.
	6	Obviously we would not be in session the 9th, 10th or the
	7	11th, which is a holiday, and then actually begin openings or
	8	proof on the 12th.
	9	Would you be able available for that, the government?
3:13PM	10	MR. MARANGOLA: Yes, Judge.
	11	THE COURT: Mr. Verrillo?
	12	MR. VERRILLO: So there would be no proof on this those
	13	days?
	14	THE COURT: No. I wouldn't expect you to present any
3:13PM	15	proof because we'd be moving it up. Jury selection only.
	16	And if we finish early on the 7th, I wouldn't push you to
	17	begin any proof until at least the 12th.
	18	MR. VERRILLO: Yes, I can work that out, yes.
	19	THE COURT: Then we'll do that. We'll make a change to
3:14PM	20	begin jury selection October 7th at 8:30.
	21	Now, this case, you believe it's going to be
	22	approximately four weeks, Mr. Marangola?
	23	MR. MARANGOLA: Judge, I think we'll be able to do it in
	24	probably between three and four. I don't think we'll need
0 1 4 53 5	٥٦	

3:14PM 25 the full four weeks.

3:14PM	1	THE COURT: But we'll block out four weeks to be safe.
	2	MR. MARANGOLA: Yes, I think that's safe, especially
	3	with stipulations.
	4	THE COURT: And alternates. You think 16, again, as in
3:14PM	5	the past trial, 4 alternates, in other words?
	6	MR. MARANGOLA: Probably, yes.
	7	MR. VERRILLO: Okay, yes, Judge.
	8	THE COURT: I think that's probably the safest approach
	9	to take with the current COVID situation we still have,
3:14PM	10	unfortunately.
	11	So we'll do that. We'll plan on selecting 12 jurors, 4
	12	alternate jurors on October 7th.
	13	And if we finish that, if we complete on the 7th, we
	14	will not be in session on the 8th. If we need the 8th to do
3:15PM	15	that, we'll do that, as well, and hold off any openings or
	16	proof until the 12th.
	17	Okay. Great. Thank you. I appreciate your cooperation
	18	on that. Okay. We're all set, thanks.
	19	MR. MARANGOLA: Thank you, Judge.
3:15PM	20	MR. VERRILLO: Judge, can I just
	21	THE COURT: Oh, sure.
	22	MR. VERRILLO: I have one item I wanted to make. You're
	23	all set. I just want to ask you, and let you know, I'm a
	24	candidate for Supreme Court and I'm going to be doing things
3:15PM	25	and I'll be out in the media and so forth. I wanted the

3:15PM	1	Court to be aware. I don't know how that affects jury
	2	questioning or whatever but during the time of this trial I
	3	will be out in various media. So, I just wanted you to be
	4	THE COURT: I ran for Supreme Court, too. You're not
3:15PM	5	going to get much media quite, frankly.
	6	MR. VERRILLO: I'm just telling you in case that became

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

2.5

3:15PM

3:16PM

3:16PM

3:16PM

MR. VERRILLO: I'm just telling you in case that became an issue.

THE COURT: I understand what you're saying. I don't see it as an issue.

MR. VERRILLO: One other thing. I would -- I did receive the Jencks material Monday, late Monday or on Monday and I did want to be with my client. I know there's an order restricting certain things, but I did want to see if there could be times I could meet over here because the Monroe County jail is quite restrictive and I wanted to see if that could be accommodated where he could be brought over for sessions, morning or whatever.

**THE COURT:** You mean prior to the trial?

MR. VERRILLO: Yeah, just to have some sessions.

THE COURT: Try to make arrangements with the Marshals because we're pretty busy here, so there may be times and space available to allow you to do that and we'll try to accommodate.

MR. MARANGOLA: I guess Mr. Verrillo indicated he wanted to file a motion since we are sort of getting close,

```
9
                 U.S. vs. Torres - 18-CR-6094
1
2
 3
                         CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 4
               In accordance with 28, U.S.C., 753(b), I
 5
     certify that these original notes are a true and correct
 6
7
     record of proceedings in the United States District Court
     of the Western District of New York before the
 8
9
     Honorable Frank P. Geraci on September 15, 2021.
10
11
12
     S/ Diane S. Martens
13
     Diane S. Martens, FCRR, RPR
     Official Court Reporter
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```